
MISCELÁNEA DE ESPECIFICACIONES PARA EL DESARROLLO DEL ANÁLISIS COSTO BENEFICIO

Por: Alan Navarro

alanphd.com

IV. Análisis Causal: Ejemplo de un diagrama causal



Para saber más sobre estos diagramas: http://alanphd.com/
sistemas_video_Dcausales.html

Tabla de Kaldor-Hicks

In considering the lifetime impacts of the BigBelly Solar trash can installation, it is important to understand the stakeholders involved. The Kaldor-Hicks Tableau below provides a high-level overview of the winners and losers in this project (Kruilla, 2007).

Table 2 Kaldor-Hicks Tableau

	Taxpayers	Labor Union	Parks Department	Big Belly Solar
Benefits	+		+	+
Costs	-	-	-	

The accounting stance of our analysis is the City of Seattle and therefore we recognize that costs to install and maintain the BigBelly Solar compactors will be paid by taxpayers in the city. Any benefits resulting from the installation of the compactors will be benefits to those taxpayers. The Parks Department is in a similar situation; their budget will need to fund the project but any labor savings and efficiency resulting from the BigBelly compactors will be delivered to the department. The biggest winner is BigBelly Solar, as they stand to make significant profit off of selling over 400 (or 100) solar compactors. The labor union is the stakeholder with the most to lose. BigBelly Solar compactors have the potential to reduce the number of staff necessary to collect waste. Parks Department employees could see a reduction in hours or layoffs if the BigBelly compactors are effective. Leah Tivoli noted that she was extremely concerned with maintaining the current level of staffing, as significant cuts have been made in the past several years (L. Tivoli, personal conversion, November 9, 2012).

trash compactors in the sity of seattle parks. Evans Sch Rev 3(1):50–61

- Superioridad potencial en el sentido de Pareto.
- · Cambio potencialmente superior de Pareto.
- "Redistribución hipotética"
- Kaldor: Si los que ganan con el cambio son capaces de compensar a los perdedores y aun así seguir ganando.
- Hicks: Si los que pierden con el cambio, no son capaces de "sobornar" a los ganadores para que no lleven a cabo su reforma/política/proyecto.

VIII. Análisis de factibilidad: Tamizado de proyectos/políticas

